

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/876,227	06/08/2001	Mark Neuschutz	MERCK 2276	6191
23599	7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			PATEL, NIHIR B	
SUITE 1400	ADON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	, VA 22201	,	3743	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/876,227	NEUSCHUTZ ET AL.	0 -
nancory nouch	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nihir Patel	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	3S
THE REPLY FILED on March 30 <sup>th</sup> , 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a standard which a standard filed amendment which are the standard with the standard are standard as the standar	cation. A proper reply ch places the applicat	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	· ·		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE con which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See I36(a) and the appropriate e	MPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sim	iplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed a	imendment
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ıd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 5-21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:			

To clarify the issue on the final rejection the examiner ment to say that claims 1, 2, and 5-21 is repeated from the office action datting back to September 9<sup>th</sup>, 2003. In reference to applicant arguments heat flow to the fins from the electrical or electronic component only when the temperature of the fins exceeds the phase change material temperature. This assumptions made in the claims clearly violates the laws of thermodynamics. Heat flows from high temperature surface to a cold temperature surface. The temperature of the fins must either equal or lower than te temperature of the phase change material and the temperature of the phase change material must be higher or equal to the fins.

Henry Bernheit Supervisory Patent Examiner Ziroup 3700